IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 58 OF 2006**

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 3048 OF 2005

JANKIE PROPERTIES THROUGH
ITS PARTNER ... PETITIONERS.

VS.

ARVIND JAGANNATH NAVARKAR& ORS. ... RESPONDENTS.

NONE FOR THE PETITIONER.

D.B.LONKAR FOR RESPONDENT NOS. 1 TO 12.

**CORAM: S.K.SHAH,J.**

**DATE : September 15, 2006.**

**P.C.**

1. None for the Petitioner.

2. The contempt petition is filed by the Respondent NO. 5 developer, who was the respondent in the writ petition. The grievance of the developer- petitioner herein is that the original petitioners- respondents have not executed the agreement that was agreed before the Court, as per the observations made by this Court in Order, dated 5th April, 2006.

3. The learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 12 states that the Respondent Nos. 1 to 12 have executed the agreement. He has also produced the copies of the agreements executed by Respondent Nos. 11 and 12, alongwith the reply filed by the respondents.

4. Under the circumstances, I do not find any merit in this contempt petition. The contempt petition is accordingly disposed of.

.....

**(S.K.SHAH,J.)**